

VOITH Supplier assessment and escalation process

Standard

June 2012

VN 3207

Confidential, all rights reserved. Observe copyright notice ISO 16016.

Language code to ISO 639-1: en

ICS

Descriptors: supplier assessment, escalation process

Table of Contents

		Page
1	Application	2
2	Supplier assessment	2
3	Goal of the model	2
4	Purpose	2
5 5.1	Calculation of ppm intervention limits and classification of the color ranges Example	3 3
6	Annual target agreement process	4
7	Monthly monitoring	5
8	Process steps and scheduling	5
9	Goals and approach of the escalation process	5
10	The individual steps of the escalation process	5
11	Applicable documents	8

Revision history:

Compared to VN 3207 of October 2005, the following alterations were made:

a) Trend color assessment in Appendix 1/2 on pages 6/7 of lines: 20,22,29,32,35,40

b) Deletion of line 61 (double) of the table

c) Updating of addresses of Beuth-Verlag and VDA in Chapter 11

Earlier editions: 2004-06, 2005-03, 2005-10

Revision: Refer to revision history.

Page 1/8

	Name	Date	Signature
Prepared by	Jupe-VTA-ara	2012-06-15	sgd. (Jupe)
Checked by	Ludwig-VTA-arak	2012-06-15	sgd. (Ludwig)
Approved by	Satzger-VPH-p6qg	2012-06-22	sgd. (Satzger)

June 2012

1 Application

This Voith standard is valid for the suppliers of Voith Turbo, in particular for the market areas road, rail and industry, as well as all locations and Voith Turbo companies, in conjunction with the applicable order and delivery specifications.

2 Supplier assessment

In order to come closer to the "zero defects target" in delivery quality (product quality and logistics quality) together with our suppliers, the procurement management (purchasing and supplier quality) together with the quality department trusts in the agreement and meeting of demanding ppm intervention limits.

Voith Turbo will in the future use a system that allows the objective determination of the ppm intervention limits. The ppm values to be newly agreed with the supplier every year are the result of the quantity of defective parts supplied (and/or parts resulting in malfunctions in operation due to the violation of ancillary duties, e.g. logistic errors), which are recorded at the Voith plants using the SAP system and notified in the form of a defect complaint. Technical and logistic errors are recorded separately for specific initiation of defects remedial measures and evaluated every month.

The monitoring process considers the last 3-month period and assigns a trend color (green, yellow, red) to the delivery quality per month. Dependent on the trend color, Voith Turbo defines persons responsible for actions and measures indicated in the escalation processes described below.

Special agreements on individual components/part numbers, e.g. in specifications, are not affected by this model, respectively must be updated together with the supplier when new knowledge is available.

3 Goal of the model

- Objective recording and determination of monthly ppm values for logistic and quality-related defects using the SAP system
- Objective determination of ppm intervention limits (based on the actual values for the past 12 months) as well as the
 option of coordination / agreement of targets for the delivery quality with the supplier
- Standardized escalation process for:
 - working out effective solutions for the main problems in case of poor delivery quality
 - demonstrate their responsibility for fast and efficient problem solution to all parties involved
 - create a group-wide framework for structured problem solutions
- Defined criterion to support the QA/L departments of the Voith Turbo plants by procurement management / purchasing and the central quality management of Voith Turbo

4 Purpose

ppm agreements have the purpose of achieving zero defects for all supplied parts in the long run. In order to achieve the zero defects target, intermediate goals are defined (ppm intervention limits), which are determined and agreed new every year.

Terms:

ppm	parts per million for the period under consideration
equation	faulty quantity under complaint x 1 000000 delivered quantity
ppm agree- ment	basic agreement to achieve the zero defects target. One basic agreement can be made for several part numbers and or material groups.
PQL team	competent operative quality and logistic departments at the plants

June 2012

5 Calculation of ppm intervention limits and classification of the color ranges

The ppm intervention limits are calculated one every year on the basis of the actual ppm of a supplier for the past 12 months. The following description is based on the so-called "double average cost method" separately for technical and logistic defects.

The assessment is based on defective parts relative to supplied parts from SAP, where defective parts from a quality point of view are all parts under complaints with a Voith internal quality report and/or defect complaint, and the defective parts from a logistics point of view are all parts with quantity and delivery date variations.

5.1 Example

Average value for the past 12 months



Elimination of all monthly values above the first average and determination of the second average value



Calculation of the 2nd average value; gives the 1st intervention limit

To calculate the second average value, which simultaneously delimits the yellow area from the green area, the remaining values are added and divided by the number of remaining values.

To calculate the 2nd intervention limit, which simultaneously delimits the yellow area from the red area, the first average value is doubled.



A 3-step traffic light classification is used for visualization and starting measures, if required.

"green range"

defined as the range between 0 ppm and the 1st intervention limit calculated or agreed with the supplier

"yellow range"

defined as the range above the "green range" up to the double of the 1st intervention limit calculated or agreed with the supplier

"red range"

defined as the range above the double value of the intervention limit calculated or agreed with the supplier

6 Annual target agreement process

The ppm intervention limits calculated according to the above algorithm are a proposal for the internal Voith Turbo coordination of the intervention limits, which occurs between procurement management / purchasing with the function of supplier quality as process-responsible department and the QA/L teams (PQL) of the plants. Taking into account the defined product groups and the manufacturing technology employees as well as the "benchmark" in the competition with equivalent suppliers, deviating intervention limits can be agreed with the supplier during the target agreement process.

Along with the separate target agreement for technical and logistic defects, it is possible to agree intervention limits on the product group level. This option is reasonable for specific quality work at the suppliers with a wide delivery range and various manufacturing technologies. Furthermore:

- intervention limits of less than 100 ppm are shown as 100 ppm in the system → i.e. 1st limit is 100; 2nd limit is 200
- If 12 monthly values for calculation of the intervention limit are not available (e.g. new supplier/new part), the
 agreement of the competent quality departments with the supplier is oriented (in coordination with the PQL teams of
 the plants) on the benchmark for comparable suppliers/technologies

If no history data are available for new parts/suppliers, the target values are coordinated with the supplier within the framework of quality planning (buildability assessment).

June 2012

7 Monthly monitoring

The defects recorded in the SAP system (actual values) are compared quarterly to the agreed intervention limits and are assigned a color according to the classification described under 5 above. As a working document for supplier quality and the PQL teams of the plants and product groups, a ppm escalation list updated monthly is prepared according to the following scheme:

- ppm actual value for past month in color range green: trend color "green"
- ppm actual value for past month in color range yellow/red: trend color "yellow"
- ppm actual value for past three or more consecutive months in color range red. trend color "red"

Consideration of a 3-month window is required for assignment of the relevant trend color. An accurate assignment of possible combinations to the trend color is given in the trend list (Appendix 1 / Appendix 2).

8 Process steps and scheduling

- Calculation of intervention limits for next business year in October (data basis: past 12 months)
- VT internal coordination of intervention limits (supplier quality/PQL teams) middle of October
- Communication of the intervention limits to the supplier in November by supplier quality
- If required, coordinate the intervention limits with the supplier by supplier quality and procurement management at the beginning of the new fiscal year (October).
- · New intervention limits become effective at the beginning of the new business year

9 Goals and approach of the escalation process

The escalation process is applied when the supplier quality assessment is yellow or red, and if the problem cannot be solved by the parties involved alone.

The goals of the process are:

- effective solutions for major problems during the supply relationship with the supplier;
- strategic balance between the interests of Voith Turbo and the supplier's responsibility;
- all parties involved know their responsibility for a rapid and efficient problem solution.

It consists of four steps to allow solution of problems with adequate effort.

- Step 1 Problem: defect notice due to GRI and problem analysis by the supplier
- Step 2 Accumulation of problems
- Step 3 Problem situation on site
- Step 4 Supplier block

Generally, each step is as follows:

- Description of the problem (fact collection)
- analysis of causes
- · agreement of an action plan to eliminate the causes
- agreement of an action plan to return the project to correspondence with the goals
- implementation of the action plans including monitoring

Dependent on the result of measures: Continue to the next step or end of the process.

On each step, suitable problem-solving methods are applied and resources are used as required.

10 The individual steps of the escalation process

Step 1 – Defect notice due to GRI and problem analysis by the supplier

Step 1 is triggered when a supplier receives a defect notice from goods receiving inspection, triggered by:

- complaint from production, assembly and testing
- 0-km complaints or warranty claims

The suppliers carries out a problem description and analysis of the causes, and it prepares an action plan to eliminate the problem (e.g. by an 8-D plan for quality problems). The responsibility is that of the PQL teams (planning, quality, logistics) of the plants.

If the supplier action is successful, the escalation process is completed. If the problem cannot be solved in this manner, the process is continued with step 2.

Step 2 – Accumulation of problems

Step 2 is triggered if the supplier received the assessment status "yellow" for the ppm assessment. The supplier receives a so-called letter of criticism, in which it is informed about the assessment result. Dependent on the severity and/or impact of the problem on the supply flow of production, the QA/logistics departments of the plants trigger a Q discussion, in which series technology or additional departments are involved, if required. The responsibility is that of supplier quality who coordinates with the operative quality teams of the plants. The supplier is to prepare and implement an efficient action plan to return the supply quality to compliance with the targets.

Step 3 - Problem situation on site

The step is triggered if the supplier received the assessment status "red" for the ppm assessment.

Step 3 initially entails an analysis by Voith Turbo as to why the desired goal was achieved neither during the ongoing supply quality assessment nor the steps this far. Based on this analysis, and taking into account the main requirements, an action plan is prepared which allows expectation of an effective and efficient problem solution. Changes of the targets or problem analysis by means of suitable Voith Turbo tools on site may be part for the problem solution. The results of the on-site analysis are compiled in an action plan implemented under the supervision of supplier quality, the competent Voith purchaser, and the Product Group person responsible for QM. Progress of the problem solution is monitored on the basis of the project goals.

If this step also cannot be completed successfully, the process in continued with step 4.

Step 4 - Supplier block

If the problems between Voith Turbo and the supplier could not be solved up to this step in a time frame of six months, and if the cause is the supplier, a supplier block is issued. Responsibility for this rests with purchasing and procurement management of Voith Turbo. Within step 4, the following measures may be initiated by the competent purchaser:

- Movement of supply quota
- Block on part number or product group level
- Block of supplier on Product Group, plant and/or market area level

Appendix 1

Combinations of results from the past three months and presentation of the trend color per assessment period

Combination	three months ago	two months ago	past month	trend color
1	red	red	red	red
2	yellow	red	red	red
3	green	red	red	yellow
4	red	yellow	red	yellow
5	yellow	yellow	red	yellow
6	green	yellow	red	yellow
7	red	green	red	yellow
8	yellow	green	red	yellow
9	green	green	red	yellow
10	red	red	yellow	yellow
11	yellow	red	yellow	yellow
12	green	red	yellow	yellow
13	red	yellow	yellow	yellow
14	yellow	yellow	yellow	yellow
15	green	yellow	yellow	yellow
16	red	green	yellow	yellow
17	yellow	green	yellow	yellow
18	green	green	yellow	yellow
19	red	red	green	yellow
20	yellow	red	green	yellow
21	green	red	green	yellow
22	red	yellow	green	yellow
23	yellow	yellow	green	green
24	green	yellow	green	green
25	red	green	green	yellow
26	yellow	green	green	green
27	green	green	green	green

Appendix 2

Month = EMPTY; no deliveries were made in this month

Combination	three months ago	two months ago	past month	trend color
28	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	no assignment
29	EMPTY	EMPTY	red	red
30	EMPTY	EMPTY	yellow	yellow
31	EMPTY	EMPTY	green	green
32	EMPTY	red	EMPTY	red
33	EMPTY	yellow	EMPTY	yellow
34	EMPTY	green	EMPTY	green
35	red	EMPTY	EMPTY	red
36	yellow	EMPTY	EMPTY	yellow
37	green	EMPTY	EMPTY	green
38	EMPTY	red	red	red
39	EMPTY	red	yellow	yellow
40	EMPTY	red	green	yellow
41	EMPTY	yellow	red	red
42	EMPTY	yellow	yellow	yellow
43	EMPTY	yellow	green	green
44	EMPTY	green	green	green
45	EMPTY	green	yellow	yellow
46	EMPTY	green	red	yellow
47	red	red	EMPTY	red
48	red	yellow	EMPTY	yellow
49	red	green	EMPTY	yellow
50	yellow	red	EMPTY	yellow
51	yellow	yellow	EMPTY	yellow
52	yellow	green	EMPTY	green
53	green	green	EMPTY	green
54	green	yellow	EMPTY	yellow
55	green	red	EMPTY	yellow
56	red	EMPTY	red	red
57	red	EMPTY	yellow	yellow
58	red	EMPTY	green	yellow
59	yellow	EMPTY	red	red
60	yellow	EMPTY	yellow	yellow
62	yellow	EMPTY	green	green
63	green	EMPTY	green	green
64	green	EMPTY	yellow	yellow
65	green	EMPTY	red	yellow

Appendix 3

Escalation scenario for supply quality

Escalation scenario for supply quality				
* a rolling 3-month period is considered				
Trend color *	red	yellow	green	
Measures Consequences	at least 3 con- secutive months red	past month yellow – red	past month green	
 Review of business relationship: Build up alternate supplier Mode delivery quota no new / follow-up order 	yes (selective)	no	no	
Supplier action plan	yes (by management)	yes	no	
Inclusion of series technology / devel- opment and other departments in Q discussion	yes	possible	no	
Q discussion with supplier	yes	possible	no	
Letter to supplier	Letter of criticism (review of business relationship)	Letter of criticism	If. Acknowledgement for 12x "green" con- secutively	
Request comment with 8-D from supplier	yes	yes	yes	
Prepare defects notice (SAP)	yes	yes	yes	
Action responsibility	Supplier quality with competent purchaser	Supplier quality with QA/L/PQL of plants	Supplier quality with QA/L/PQL of plants	

11 Applicable documents

Source for standards: Beuth Verlag GmbH www.beuth.de

01	DIN EN ISO 9000	Quality management, terms
02	DIN EN ISO 9001:2000	Quality management systems, requirements
03	DIN EN ISO14001	Environmental management systems
04	DIN 55350-11	Terms of quality assurance and statistics

Verband der Automobilindustrie e.V. (VDA

Source: Verband der Automobilindustrie e.V. (VDA) Qualitätsmanagement Center (QMC) www.vda-qmc.de

05	Volume 1	Bringing evidence
06	Volume 2	Quality assurance of supplies in the automotive industry
07	Volume 4	Quality assurance prior to series application
08	ISO/TS 16949	Quality management systems, special requirements for the application of ISO 9001:2000 for series and spare parts production in the automotive industry

Voith standards

09	VN 3205	Production process and product approval (master sample approval)
10	VN 3206	Quality planning for purchase parts suppliers (QVP)